

## VIBRATION-BASED REMOVAL OF VARIOUS MARTIAN DUST SIMULANTS FROM PHOTOYOLTAIC MODULES IN A LOW-COST SIMULATED MARTIAN ENVIRONMENT

Nandini KHANEJA<sup>1,\*</sup>, Benson CHOU<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Great Neck South High School, Great Neck, New York

## **ABSTRACT**

Solar energy is a crucial power source on Earth and for space exploration rovers. However, dust deposition can reduce solar panel power output by more than 50% on Earth [1], an average of 0.2% per Sol [2], and over 80% under simulated lunar conditions [3]. Traditional mitigation strategies such as electrostatic cleaning and coatings lose effectiveness under Martian conditions of low humidity and abrasive dust [4,5]. However, vibrational dust removal shows promise if the duration of vibration is optimized to avoid sensor damage [6]. Typical Martian simulation chambers fail to account for different gravitational conditions on both Mars and Earth. Since Martian gravity is a third of Earth's gravity, previous studies conducted by NASA have used walnut shell dust as a low-density simulant [7]. To investigate the performance of a vibrational system under realistic conditions, we designed a cost-effective experimental chamber simulating the Martian environment by combining vacuum conditions with rotation. Using this method, we increased gravitational acceleration by adding centripetal acceleration through rotation. While Martian gravity is lower than that of Earth, low-gravity simulation methods are inaccessible. Utilizing this increase in gravity, an exponential decay function was used to predict performance at Martian conditions. This device allowed the study of dust removal efficiency on solar panels at varying tilt angles  $(0^{\circ}, 5^{\circ}, 10^{\circ})$  and simulated gravity levels (25, 35, 45 RPM). True Martian dust is toxic and would behave differently on Earth compared to on Mars. Glass microbeads were used in this study to stand in for true Martian dust since they have the same density. This simulant underwent rotation in this chamber such that performance at a lower gravitational leve! could be extrapolated. Walnut shell dust was the control simulant as it did not require rotation, as is already approximately a third of the density of true Martian dust. Restoration times required to recover 25% of original panel power output were recorded, alongside measurements of the power consumed by the dust removal system. Supporting the experimental work, a mathematical force-balance model was developed to describe dust partide acceleration resulting from the combined effects of gravitational, vibrational, frictional, and centripetal forces. The centripetal acceleration on a dust partide a was calculated in terms of the acceleration due to gravity g, the tilt angle of the solar panel 8, the vibrational intensity w, and the vibrational amplitude A in the equation. Scaling the extrapolated walnut shell durations by a factor of 2.9 aligned them with glass microbead results (p > 0.05), validating chamber rotations as an effective means of gravitational simulation. The power consumed to clean rovers was derived by dividing the power needed to dean our panel by surface area of 5-inch by 7-inch panel and multiplying by the dust removal duration and surface area of the rover's solar panels. Calculations of power required for tilting and vibrating the test panel were scaled up using NASA specifications of rover solar panel areas. Results revealed

 $<sup>^*</sup>Corresponding\ Author's\ E$ -mail: nandiniakhaneja@gmail.com

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding Author's E-mail: bensonchou08@gmail.com

that the In Sight mission (2018) would have allocated approximately 80.8% less ofthe maximum power for dust removal than Spirit/Opportunity (2003), reflecting improvements in panel efficiency over time. As panel efficiency improves in the future, our vibration dust removal will be more valuable to implement, as it requires a lower percentage of maximum power output. Limitations in this study include challenges in achieving 6-7 mbar pressure and vacuum loss during dust deposition. Future work should explore gyroscopic rotation for stability, improve pressure control, and refine dust deposition techniques. Other factors such as rotational behavior ofthe particles, collisions, and air resistance should be accounted for in future calculations.

Keywords Dust mitigation  $\cdot$  Solar panel cleaning  $\cdot$  Martian environment  $\cdot$  Power extrapolation  $\cdot$  F orce-balance

## References

- [1] Elminir, H. K., Ghitas, A. E., Hamid, R., El-Hussainy, F., Beheary, M., & Abdel-Moneim, K. M. (2006). Effect of dust on the transparent cover of solar collectors. Energy Conversion and Management, 47(18-19), 3192-3203. https://doi.org/10.1 O 16/j.enconman.2006.02.014
- [2] Lorenz, R. D., Martinez, G. M., Spiga, A., Vicente-Retortillo, A., Newman, C. E., Murdoch, N., Forget, F., Millour, E., & Pierron, T. (2021). Lander and rover histories of dust accumulation on and removal from solar arrays on mars. Planetary and Space Science, 207, 105337. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2021.105337
- [3] Joyce, C. J., & Kobrick, R. L., Ph.D. (2022). Moda! optimized vibration dust eliminator (move): An active/passive dust mitigation technology for spaceflight exploration. 51 st International Conference on Environmental Systems.
- [4] [4] Panat, S., & Varanasi, K. K. (2022). Electrostatic dust removal using adsorbed moisture-assisted charge induction for sustainable operation of solar panels. Science Advances, 8(1 O). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abm0078
- [5] [5] Einhorn, A., Micheli, L., Miller, D. C., Simpson, L. J., Moutinho, H. R., To, B., Lanaghan, C. L., Muller, M. T., Toth, S., John, J. J., Warade, S., Kottantharayil, A., & Engtrakul, C. (2019). Evaluation of soiling and potential mitigation approaches on photovoltaic glass. IEEE Journal of Photovoltaics, 9(1), 233-239. https://doi.org/10.1109/jphotov.2018.2878286
- [6] [6] Shenouda, R., Abd-Elhady, M., Ali, A. R., & Kandil, H. (2022). Influence of vibration time on dust accumulation on PV panels that operate light posts. Energy Reports, 8, 309-318. https://doi.org/1 O. 1 O 16/j.egyr.2022. 1O.151
- [7] Greeley, R., White, B. R., Pollack, J. B., iversen, J. D., & Leach, R. N. (1981). Dust storms on mars: Considerations and simulations. Geological Society of America Special Papers, 101-122. https://doi.org/10.1130/spe 186-p 1 O 1